

OVING PARISH PLAN

Action Point Template

Subject investigated: GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE

Survey question numbers: Q10, Q21, Q37, Q47, Q48, Q64

Description of the perceived need:

Generally, all comments related to the need for adequate and appropriate infrastructure to be put in place to cope with the number of new housing developments going on around the Parish.

“General Infrastructure” is used as a catch-all for everything from shops and bus services to schools and roads, and so the popularity it carries should be added to each of the individual infrastructure items that have been isolated in their own templates.

Q10 demonstrated the popularity of this subject with nearly all of the comments (89.8%) relating to infrastructure, and this particular question being completed by 70% of all questionnaire respondents (giving a response rating of 62.4%).

A further five free comment questions received 13 mentions of infrastructure out of a total of 263 comments (4.9% response rating).

Summary of relevant survey data:

Q10 Do you have any comments about housing in Oving Parish, including large developments?

(106 comments out of 118 total responses = 89.8%):

No more development – Will cause increase in traffic volumes and/or pressure on roads/transport (inc. A27)	21
No more development - Not enough schools, doctors etc.	15
Adequate development in Oving already (small Housing Association sites)	14
No more development – Not enough (non-specific) infrastructure to cope	13
Happy to accommodate new development (although often restrictions added e.g. if accompanied by appropriate infrastructure, if small-scale)	14

Comment	Number of mentions
Need more (affordable) housing in Oving	8
Do not trust authorities to ensure infrastructure is in place for new homes	7
Negative comments about small scale development (Hayters Gardens – not enough parking, Gribble Lane – build caused disruption/not enough parking)	5
Against closing of Oving traffic lights	5
Need more privately owned housing – less social/affordable	4

Q21 Please tell us why you think that.
(2 comments out of 95 total responses =2.1%):

Parking and traffic-related issues. No additional infrastructure for these workers, who also reside on site.

I have no issues with the business, but infrastructure must improve to support vehicles.

Q37 Please tell us about any ideas you have to improve the environmental sustainability of Oving Parish further
(3 comments out of 38 total responses = 7.9%):

No more houses. We just don't have the infrastructure. We need better broadband.

Restrictions on further residential development - currently scheduled developments will already place great strain on the infrastructure

More buses and normal facilities to sustain life e.g. shop, banking facilities, doctors, dentist etc.

Q47 Please tell us if you have any suggestions for improvements to any of these services

(two comments out of 63 total responses = 3.2%):

No more housing developments until there is a complete infrastructure.

Council does not spend enough on infrastructure or litter collection.

Q48 Please tell us if there is anything else you would like to say about Oving Parish or any of the issues raised in the questionnaire.

(five comments out of 42 total responses 11.9%):

Planning - As an authority locally, should make much more noise about housing quotas leading to development for which we lack infrastructure - sewage, schools, GPs etc.

The parish is expanding beyond current levels and we are not sure if the infrastructure will cope.

Need a school, shop, care home and other infrastructure.

But infrastructure issues, roads, grass cutting, drainage etc. need attention soon or the environment will get tacky.

It has become clear that the Parish needs to get tough with planning and CDC as to providing for rural areas. Clearly the imposition of mass housing must be accompanied by facilities like schools, transport health facilities and means of safe and clear access to Chichester

Q64 What are the disadvantages to your business of operating in Oving Parish?

(one comment out of 25 total responses = 4%);

Fair distance from any amenities.

Description of response proposed:

OPC to relay concerns of the residents to CDC planning department as and when new development plans are raised. OPC to raise awareness of planned developments by way of OPN reporting.

Feasibility of response:

Updating residents via the OPN publication and/or web site is feasible.

The agency which is ultimately responsible:

WSCC, CDC, OPC.

Those who will benefit among the community:

All residents should gain benefit from the inclusion of appropriate infrastructure associated with new developments.

Time frame and estimated costs if known:

On-going – some issues may need years of lobbying.

Plans already in process or proposed which may be relevant:

Shopwyke Lakes development – re bus services, shops, care home.